



Separate search terms with commas

Search

[Browse -](#)[Sign Out](#)

# Non-surgical Intratracheal Instillation of Mice with Analysis of Lungs and Lung Draining Lymph Nodes by Flow Cytometry

Manira Rayamajhi ([/author/Manira\\_Rayamajhi](#))<sup>1</sup>, Elizabeth F. Redente ([/author/Elizabeth+F.\\_Redente](#))<sup>2</sup>, Tracy V. Condon ([/author/Tracy+V.\\_Condon](#))<sup>1</sup>, Mercedes Gonzalez-Juarrero ([/author/Mercedes\\_Gonzalez-Juarrero](#))<sup>3</sup>, David W.H. Riches ([/author/David+W.H.\\_Riches](#))<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Laurel L. Lenz ([/author/Laurel+L.\\_Lenz](#))<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Immunology, **University of Colorado School of Medicine** ([/institutions/NA-north-america/US-united-states/CO-colorado/25139-university-of-colorado-denver](#)), <sup>2</sup>Division of Cell Biology, Department of Pediatrics, **National Jewish Health** ([/institutions/NA-north-america/US-united-states/CO-colorado/11633-national-jewish-health](#)), <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Pathology, **Colorado State University** ([/institutions/NA-north-america/US-united-states/CO-colorado/256-colorado-state-university](#)), <sup>4</sup>Department of Immunology, **National Jewish Health** ([/institutions/NA-north-america/US-united-states/CO-colorado/11633-national-jewish-health](#))




## Video Article Chapters

- 0:05 Title
- 1:32 Intratracheal Injection
- 3:11 Harvesting and Processing Lung Draining Lymph Nodes and Lungs for Flow Cytometry
- 6:33 Representative Flow Cytometric Analyses of Lung Draining Lymph Nodes After Intratracheal Injection
- 7:39 Conclusion

You have **full access** to this article through .

0

## Related Videos



**Isolation & Characterization of...**  
Published 10/26/2011



**Pressure Controlled Ventilation to Induce Acute...**  
Published 5/05/2011



**Experimental Metastasis and CTL Adoptive Transfer ...**  
Published 11/26/2010



**Development of Obliterative Bronchiolitis in a...**  
Published 7/10/2012

## Cite this Article

Rayamajhi, M., Redente, E. F., Condon, T. V., Gonzalez-Juarrero, M., Riches, D. W., Lenz, L. L. Non-surgical Intratracheal Instillation of Mice with Analysis of Lungs and Lung Draining Lymph Nodes by Flow Cytometry. *J. Vis. Exp.* (51), e2702, doi:10.3791/2702 (2011).

## Abstract

Phagocytic cells such as alveolar macrophages and lung dendritic cells (LDCs) continuously sample antigens from the alveolar spaces in the lungs. LDCs, in particular, are known to migrate to the lung draining lymph nodes (LDLNs) where they present inhaled antigens to T cells initiating an appropriate immune response to a variety of immunogens<sup>1,2</sup>. To model interactions between the lungs and airborne antigens in mice, antigens can be administered intranasally<sup>1,3,4</sup>, intratracheally<sup>5</sup> or as aerosols<sup>6</sup>. Delivery by each route involves distinct technical skills and limitations that need to be considered before designing an experiment. For example,

## Download

EndNote, RefWorks, Reference Manager, ProCite  
([/legacy/GetRIS.php?id=2702](#))

JoVE PDF Article ([/pdf/2702](#))

Materials List ([/pdf-materials/2702](#))

XML File ([/pdf/default.aspx?ID=2702](#))

## Information

Date Published **5/02/2011**

JoVE Section **Immunology and Infecti**

intranasal and aerosolized exposure delivers antigens to both the lungs and the upper respiratory tract. Hence antigens can access the nasal associated lymphoid tissue (NALT)<sup>7</sup>, potentially complicating interpretation of the results. In addition, swallowing, sneezing and the breathing rate of the mouse may also lead to inconsistencies in the doses delivered. Although the involvement of the upper respiratory tract may be preferred for some studies, it can complicate experiments focusing on events specifically initiated in the lungs. In this setting, the intratracheal (i.t) route is preferable as it delivers test materials directly into the lungs and bypasses the NALT. Many i.t injection protocols involve either blind intubation of the trachea through the oral cavity or surgical exposure of the trachea to access the lungs. Herein, we describe a simple, consistent, non-surgical method for i.t instillation. The opening of the trachea is visualized using a laryngoscope and a bent gavage needle is then inserted directly into the trachea to deliver the inoculum. We also describe procedures for harvesting and processing of LDLNs and lungs for analysis of antigen trafficking by flow cytometry.

## Protocol

### 1. Before the process, prepare and gather the following items

1. Please refer to the image in Figure 1a) and 1b) for building a wooden platform to restrain the mouse during the procedure.
2. Digestion mix for lymph nodes - HBSS + 1.25mg/ml Collagenase TypeIV or 2.5 mg/ml Collagenase D
3. Digestion mix for lungs - HBSS + 1 mg/ml Collagenase
4. Dilute latex beads (1:20) in PBS for intraperitoneal injection to visualize the LDLNs

**Note:** LDLNs are small and hard to find. To learn how to find them, inject 200µl of the 1:20 diluted red fluorescent latex beads i.p into the mouse. The peritoneum drains to these LDLNs<sup>8</sup>. 24 hpi, expose the thoracic cavity of the mouse as described above. The LDLNs will appear pink from the beads that drained from the peritoneal cavity.

5. Red Blood Cell (RBC) Lysis Buffer - 0.15M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 10mM KHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>EDTA in 1L dH<sub>2</sub>O. Adjust pH to 7.2-7.4 and store at room temperature.
6. 70µm or 100µm cell strainer
7. Stock solution of 0.5M EDTA, pH = 8.0
8. HBSS without CaCl<sub>2</sub> and MgCl<sub>2</sub>
9. Scissors and blunt-ended forceps
10. Laryngoscope
11. Gavage needle (22 gauge) bent at an angle (Figure 2)
12. Styrofoam board and pins for spreading the mouse
13. Top pan balance
14. Aniline Blue Solution - 10mg/ml in H<sub>2</sub>O

**Note:** We will be using this solution for demonstration of i.t injection technique.

### 2. Preparation and administration of mouse anesthetic

1. Prepare a mixture of Xylazine and Ketamine in sterile PBS so that the final concentrations are 2mg/ml and 10mg/ml respectively.
2. Weigh the mouse on a top pan balance.

JoVE Issue	51 ( <a href="#">/archive/51</a> )
Comments	0 Comments
View Count	17,943 ( <a href="#">Details...</a> )
DOI	doi:10.3791/2702 ( <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.3791">http://dx.doi.org/10.3791</a> )

## Keywords

Immunology ([/keyword/immunology](#)), Issue 51 ([/archive/51](#)), Intratracheal ([/keyword/intratracheal](#)), mouse ([/keyword/mouse](#)), lungs ([/keyword/lungs](#)), lung draining lymph nodes ([/keyword/lung+draining+lymph+nodes](#)), flow cytometry ([/keyword/flow+cytometry](#))

Translate this page to:

Choose Language...

## Navigate this Article

[Cite this article](#)  
[Abstract](#)  
[Protocol](#)  
[Discussion](#)  
[Disclosures](#)  
[Materials](#)  
[References](#)  
[Ask the author](#)  
[Top of page](#)

3. Calculate the dose required for each mouse. Dose at Xylazine (2-4 mg/kg) and Ketamine (70-80 mg/kg) body weight using appropriate volume of the prepared mixture and inject intraperitoneally. Each mouse strain may require optimization of anesthetic. Mice receiving too much anesthetic may have a reduced respiration rate that could be fatal after inoculum is instilled into the lungs.
4. After 3-5 minutes, observe the mouse for the effects of the anesthetic. Check for the following signs to confirm that the mouse is fully anesthetized:
  1. The breathing rate should slow down.
  2. The mouse should not stretch its arm when picked up by the neck.
  3. There should not be any response when the hind limbs are stimulated.If these criteria are not met, wait for a few minutes and check again before proceeding to the next step.

### **3. Intratracheal injection**

1. Prepare the inoculum in 50µl saline. Fill a 1ml syringe fitted with a sterile bent gavage needle with the inoculum. The syringe should be loaded with a 100µl air pocket behind the inoculum to ensure that all of the fluid is instilled into the lung.
2. Place the mouse on the angled wooden platform hanging by its incisors on the wire and gently restrain it in place with a piece of ribbon.
3. Turn on the laryngoscope with your left hand (if you are right handed) and grab a pair of blunt ended forceps. Use the tip of laryngoscope and the forceps to gently pry open the mouth.
4. With the forceps, pull the tongue out and hold it to the side. Guide the laryngoscope blade towards the back of the mouth. Keep the laryngoscope pressed down very gently at a 90° angle until you see the opening of the trachea. Hold the laryngoscope in place. Care should be taken when pulling the tongue from the mouth and this should be done gently so no tissue damages occurs.
5. With your other hand, take the 1ml syringe containing the inoculum, fitted with the bent gavage needle with your right hands and insert the needle into the trachea until the bend in the needle is by the front incisors. Push the plunger evenly to deliver the inoculum. The inoculum should not bubble. Pull the needle out of the trachea as soon as possible. Mice will suffocate and die if the trachea is blocked for too long. Hold the mouse upright for a few seconds to allow inoculum to be inhaled into the lungs.
6. Take the mouse out of the platform and place it near a heating lamp. Do not leave mice under direct heat for extended periods of time. The mouse should be fully awake within 30 min after the procedure (recovery time may vary based on strains). Mice should be observed diligently during this time and should not be allowed to get too hot or too cold, this could also put the mice into respiratory distress leading to death.


### **4. Harvesting and processing Lung draining lymph nodes and lungs for flow cytometry**

1. Sacrifice mice using the desired method as per the AVMA euthanasia guidelines. This may vary depending upon individual harvest outcomes. Administration of a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital is an example of an appropriate euthanasia procedure. We have not seen negative pulmonary effects of euthanasia by CO<sub>2</sub>.
2. Pin the arms and legs on a dissection board. Make an incision along the middle of the ventral side with scissors. Gently pull the skin and expose the thoracic wall muscles.


3. Make incisions through the thoracic wall muscles to expose the abdominal organs.
4. Hold the tip of sternum with the forceps and puncture the diaphragm. Cut the diaphragm along the sides of the rib cage.
5. With the scissors parallel to the dissection board surface, cut through the thoracic cavity along the dorso-ventral line on both sides.
6. Slightly lift the rib cage up. On the right side of the heart, just underneath the thymus, look for two lymph nodes. A slightly larger third lymph node is located underneath a small arterial blood vessel that runs perpendicular to the trachea. Harvest lymph nodes into 1 ml of lymph node digestion mix.
7. After harvesting the lymph nodes, hold the heart with a pair of forceps and grab the lungs with another. Harvest lungs into 3ml of ice cold PBS in a 6-well plate.
8. Separate the lobes of the lungs, aspirate PBS and chop the lobes into small pieces using forceps and scissors. Add 3ml of the digestion mix for lungs. Keep the 6-well plate on ice during this step.
9. Incubate the lymph nodes for 25-30 min and lungs for 30 min at 37°C. Add EDTA to a final concentration of 10mM to each of the digestion mixtures to stop the reaction and place on ice.
10. Using a 3ml syringe, pass pieces of lungs through an 18GA needle 3 times. Mash the pieces of the lungs and the LNs through 70-100µm cell strainers using the back end of a 1ml syringe plunger. Wash the cell strainers with 10ml of HBSS without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>. Centrifuge at 500 x g for 5 min.
11. Resuspend the pellet in 5 ml of RBC lysis buffer and incubate for 3 min at room temperature. Add 10ml of HBSS without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> to quench lysis. Centrifuge at 500 x g for 5 min. Bring up the lung and LDLN single cell suspensions to 10ml and 5ml respectively. Count live cells using a hemacytometer by trypan blue exclusion. Cells are now ready to stain for flow cytometry. 1 lobe of the lung might be sufficient for flow cytometry. Other lobes can be used for other experimental questions within the same mouse such as homogenates for cytokines or tissue for histology.

## 5. Representative Results:


LDCs and alveolar macrophages in the lungs acquire antigen from the alveolar spaces of the lungs immediately following i.t injection. Figure 3 shows percentage of CD11c<sup>+</sup> (expressed on LDCs and alveolar macrophages) cells that are positive for fluorescently labeled *Bacillus anthracis* spores, 30 min post i.t injection. At this time, ~15.9% of CD45<sup>+</sup>CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells in the lung single cell suspension harbored *Bacillus anthracis* spores (Figure 3, lower panels). For further characterization of CD11c<sup>+</sup> cells in the lungs, refer to Kim and Braciale<sup>4</sup>. Fluorescently labeled beads are routinely used to assess trafficking of particulate antigens to LDLNs<sup>9</sup>. To assess this transport from lungs to LDLNs, fluorescent latex beads were injected i.t with LPS and LDLNs were analyzed at 24 hpi. About 4% of CD11c<sup>hi</sup> cells in the LDLNs harbored fluorescent beads at 24hpi (Figure 4). These representative experiments show that this protocol can be efficiently used to track cells that acquire inhaled particulate antigens in the lungs and subsequently traffic them to the LDLNs.




**Figure 1. Wooden platform for restraining mice during i.t injection.** A wooden block was cut at an angle and metal bars were fitted, front view figure 1a) and side view figure 1b). A small piece of wire was wound around the metal bar to anchor the front incisors of the mouse. A piece of ribbon was attached to the platform to tie around the mouse to keep it in place during the procedure.



**Figure 2. Gavage needle for i.t injection.** A gavage needle (right) was bent at an angle of  $\sim 135^\circ$  (left) for i.t injection.



**Figure 3. Percentage of all CD11c+ cells in the lungs that harbor i.t injected GFP expressing *Bacillus anthracis* (Sterne-p6GFP), 5hrs post challenge.** AJ mice were challenge with  $1 \times 10^8$  GFP- *Bacillus anthracis* spores and the lungs were analyzed at 5hrs post infection. The dot plots show percentage of CD11c+ lung cells that are positive for *Bacillus anthracis*.



**Figure 4. Detection of fluorescent beads (yellow/green) in the lung draining lymph nodes 24 hours following i.t injection.** AJ mice were given either PBS (left panel) or  $5 \times 10^9$  Fluoresbrite YG Microspheres ( $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) with  $10 \mu\text{g}$  LPS (right panel) i.t and LDLNs were analyzed 24hpi. Representative dot plots show percentage of  $\text{CD11c}^{\text{hi}}$  cells in the LDLNs that harbor fluorescent beads plotted against an empty channel.

## Discussion

We have utilized this protocol to study trafficking of *Bacillus anthracis* spores from the lungs to the LDLNs. For similar applications, the number of particles delivered to the lungs should be carefully chosen so that the injected material can be detected in the LDLNs by flow cytometry. We have also successfully used this method for adoptive transfer of cells and labeling of specific lung cell populations using fluorescently labeled antibodies. In addition, this method is routinely used to administer bleomycin (a chemical inducer of pulmonary fibrosis) and cytokines directly into the lungs<sup>10</sup>.

Although this method allows consistent delivery of test materials into the lungs, it requires extensive training and validation (achieved by instilling dye into the lungs). This method may not have the same physiological relevance as other routes of inhalation because it excludes the upper respiratory tract where important interactions and immune responses may be initiated. However, it is preferable where interpretation can be complicated by uptake of the administered agent by regional lymph nodes in the head and neck and by stimulation of the respiratory tract epithelium. As with all models, experimental factors such as dose, vehicle for delivery, distribution of the injected material, damage to the respiratory epithelium and effects of anesthesia should all be considered while designing experiments. Compared to the conventional procedures for i.t instillation, we believe that this method significantly reduces damage to the respiratory tract epithelium. Nonetheless, appropriate control groups should be used to account for injury since damage at the site of inoculation might affect the delivery of antigens to the lymph nodes<sup>11</sup>. We recommend using saline as a vehicle but if the test material requires specific media for effectiveness, vehicle controls should not be neglected. Distribution of the injected particulate material into the different lobes of the lungs may be an important parameter for certain experiments. In such cases, each of the lobes can be analyzed separately immediately following i.t injection. The distribution of materials into the different lobes of the lungs following i.t route of instillation has been described by Costa et al<sup>12</sup>.

We focus our analysis on the draining lymph nodes described above which are accessible in most wild type and knock out strains of mice used in our labs. However, there are additional draining lymph nodes in the thoracic region<sup>13,14</sup> that might also be analyzed. If more lymph nodes are desirable for an experiment, we recommend initial identification using i.p injection of fluorescent beads as described in the protocol. Two of the

lymph nodes that we recommend for analysis are in close proximity to the thymus therefore care should be taken during extraction.

As previously mentioned, this method can be adapted to expose lungs to a variety of infectious organisms, allergens or toxins. It provides a simple and consistent alternative to conventional routes of inhalation exposure and excludes the upper respiratory tract. It is particularly useful for experiments that focus on events that initiate in the lungs and the lung draining lymph nodes before any other site.

## Disclosures

No conflicts of interest declared.

## Materials

Name	Company	Catalog Number	Comments
70µm Cell strainer	BD Biosciences		
Aniline Blue	Fisher Scientific	A967-25	
Animal Feeding needle	Popper & Sons, Inc.	7920	
Collagenase	Sigma-Aldrich	C2139	
Collagenase TypeIV	Worthington Biochemical		
Collagenase D	Roche Group	11088974103	
DPBS	Invitrogen	14190	
Fluoresbrite YG Microspheres (0.5µm)	Polysciences, Inc.	17152	
HBSS without Calcium chloride and magnesium chloride	Invitrogen	14170	
Ketamine HCl (100mg/ml)	Hospira Inc.		
Laryngoscope Blade	PennCentury, Inc	For Model LS-1	Refer to <a href="http://www.penncentury.com">www.penncentury.com</a> ( <a href="http://www.penncentury.com">http://www.penncentury.com</a> )
Lightweight Fiber Optic Laryngoscope	Welch Allyn	80814	
Red Fluorescent Beads (0.5µm)	Invitrogen	F8812	For i.p injection
Xylazine (100mg/ml)	Lloyd, Inc.		

## References

1. Grayson, M. H. et al., Controls for lung dendritic cell maturation and migration during respiratory viral infection. *J Immunol* **179** (3), 1438 (2007).

2. GeurtsvanKessel, C. H. and Lambrecht, B. N., Division of labor between dendritic cell subsets of the lung. *Mucosal Immunol* **1** (6), 442 (2008).
3. Bar-Haim, E. et al., Interrelationship between dendritic cell trafficking and *Francisella tularensis* dissemination following airway infection. *PLoS Pathog* **4** (11), e1000211 (2008).
4. Kim, T. S. and Braciale, T. J., Respiratory dendritic cell subsets differ in their capacity to support the induction of virus-specific cytotoxic CD8+ T cell responses. *PLoS One* **4** (1), e4204 (2009).
5. Bakocevic, N., Worbs, T., Davalos-Misslitz, A., and Forster, R., T cell-dendritic cell interaction dynamics during the induction of respiratory tolerance and immunity. *J Immunol* **184** (3), 1317 (2010).
6. Thomas, R. J. et al., Influence of particle size on the pathology and efficacy of vaccination in a murine model of inhalational anthrax. *J Med Microbiol* (2010).
7. Kiyono, H. and Fukuyama, S., NALT- versus Peyer's-patch-mediated mucosal immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* **4** (9), 699 (2004).
8. Parungo, C. P. et al., Lymphatic drainage of the peritoneal space: a pattern dependent on bowel lymphatics. *Ann Surg Oncol* **14** (2), 286 (2007).
9. Jakubzick, C., Helfft, J., Kaplan, T. J., and Randolph, G. J., Optimization of methods to study pulmonary dendritic cell migration reveals distinct capacities of DC subsets to acquire soluble versus particulate antigen. *J Immunol Methods* **337** (2), 121 (2008).
10. Higgins, D. M. et al., Relative levels of M-CSF and GM-CSF influence the specific generation of macrophage populations during infection with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Immunol* **180** (7), 4892 (2008).
11. Kool, M. et al., Alum adjuvant boosts adaptive immunity by inducing uric acid and activating inflammatory dendritic cells. *J Exp Med* **205** (4), 869 (2008).
12. Costa, D. L., Lehmann, J. R., Harold, W. M., and Drew, R. T., Transoral tracheal intubation of rodents using a fiberoptic laryngoscope. *Lab Anim Sci* **36** (3), 256 (1986).
13. Takahashi, S. and Patrick, G., Patterns of lymphatic drainage to individual thoracic and cervical lymph nodes in the rat. *Lab Anim* **21** (1), 31 (1987).
14. Van den Broeck, W., Derore, A., and Simoens, P., Anatomy and nomenclature of murine lymph nodes: Descriptive study and nomenclatory standardization in BALB/cAnNCrI mice. *J Immunol Methods* **312** (1-2), 12 (2006).

## Ask the Author

## 0 Comments

## Post a Question / Comment / Request

Your comment (in English, please):

Allowed HTML tags: *i*, *b*, *u*, *sup*, *sub*

You are signed in as [jzacka@worthington-biochem.com](mailto:jzacka@worthington-biochem.com)

**Post Comment**

## About JoVE


The Journal of Visualized Experiments (JoVE) is a PubMed-indexed video journal. Our mission is to increase the productivity of scientific research.

## Follow JoVE

blog (<http://blog.jove.com>)    rss ([/rss](#))

facebook (<http://www.facebook.com/JoVEjournal>)

twitter (<http://twitter.com/JoVEjournal>)    newsletter



---

<a href="#">Subscribe (/subscribe)</a>	<a href="#">About (/about)</a>	<a href="#">Editorial Boards (/editorial-boards)</a>	<a href="#">Publish (/publish)</a>	<a href="#">Press (/about/press-releases)</a>
<a href="http://industry.jove.com">Advertise (<a href="http://industry.jove.com">http://industry.jove.com</a>)</a>	<a href="http://shop.jove.com/">JoVE Shop (<a href="http://shop.jove.com/">http://shop.jove.com/</a>)</a>	<a href="#">Contact (/about/contact#contact)</a>	<a href="#">Troubleshooting (/about/contact)</a>	

---

Copyright © JoVE 2006-2013. All Rights Reserved. | [Policies \(/policies\)](#) | [License Agreement \(/files/documents/JoVE\\_library\\_license\\_agreement.pdf\)](#) | ISSN 1940-087X  
MyJoVE Corp. | 17 Sellers St. | Cambridge, MA | 02139 | tel. 617.945.9051 (tel:617.945.9051)